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### 1.0 Abstract:

The Complexity of electric power system to meet industrial requirements and their products are increasing day by day. The reliability and quality of the distribution and so transmission system is also gaining importance[1]. In order to understand the Transmission lines reliability, an analysis is carried out for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ constants, sending voltage, sending current and voltage regulation as a function of transmission distance, Keeping the receiving voltage and power constant.
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### 2.0 Introduction: Although power flow at any

 point in a transmission line can be found if the voltage, current and power factor are known or can be calculated, power can be derived in terms of A,B,C,D constants. Here line impedance=,1603 + j0.8277 $\Omega$ Receiving $\mathrm{v}=215 \mathrm{~K}$, and power is 125 Mw is kept constant.Line length is varied from $50-500$ K.M. in instalment of 50 . Two tables are generated for analysis.
3.0Analysis: Table A1 with Distance, A, B, C, and D is generated using the load flow analysis [3]using the data given. The data is normalized. Using PCA covariance matrix,[2] coefficient matrix is given in table A2, correlation analysis matrix A3 is generated.[4] This matrix gives inter correlation between the components. The Eigen values and proportion of variances explained by components is shown in table A4.

Similarly Table B1 is generated using the distance, sending voltage, sending current and voltage regulation. Coefficient matrix is given in B2. Correlation matrix is B3, Eigen value and variance is given in B4.

| Table A1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance | A | B | C | D |
| 50 | 0.9947 | 42.1 | 0.0003 | 0.9947 |
| 100 | 0.9789 | 83.72 | 0.0005 | 0.9789 |
| 150 | 0.9528 | 124.47 | 0.0008 | 0.9528 |
| 200 | 0.9167 | 163.9 | 0.001 | 0.9167 |
| 250 | 0.871 | 201.6 | 0.0012 | 0.871 |
| 300 | 0.8163 | 237.2 | 0.0014 | 0.8163 |
| 350 | 0.7532 | 270.3 | 0.0016 | 0.7532 |
| 400 | 0.6824 | 300.55 | 0.0018 | 0.6824 |
| 450 | 0.605 | 327.6 | 0.002 | 0.605 |
| 500 | 0.522 | 351.2 | 0.0021 | 0.522 |


| Table A2 | Coefficient |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance | 0.4502 | -0.1779 | 0.3669 | -0.7944 | 0 |
| A | -0.4457 | -0.5352 | 0.0324 | -0.1178 | 0.7071 |
| B | 0.4468 | -0.4711 | 0.4874 | 0.5838 | 0 |
| C | 0.4476 | -0.4167 | -0.791 | -0.0184 | 0 |
| D | -0.4457 | -0.5352 | 0.0324 | -0.1178 | - |


| Table A3 | correlation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance | 1 | -0.9799 | 0.9965 | 0.9972 | 0.9799 |  |
| A | -0.9799 | 1 | -0.9602 | -0.9642 | 1 |  |
| B | 0.9965 | -0.9602 | 1 | 0.9992 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 0.9602 |  |  |  |
| C | 0.9972 | -0.9642 | 0.9992 | 1 | 0.9642 |  |
| D | -0.9799 | 1 | -0.9602 | -0.9642 | 1 |  |


| Table A4 | Eigen | Latent | Explained |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distance | 4.9207 | 98.4144 | 98.4144 |
| A | 0.0784 | 1.5677 | 99.9821 |
| B | 0.0008 | 0.0163 | 99.9984 |
| C | 0.0001 | 0.0017 | 100.0001 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 100.0001 |


| Table B1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance | Vs Kv | Is | Vreg |
| 50 | 219.9 | 335.46 | 2.798 |
| 100 | 225.3 | 334.88 | 6.896 |
| 150 | 231 | 334 | 12.4 |
| 200 | 236.8 | 333.6 | 19.53 |
| 250 | 242.6 | 333.7 | 28.59 |
| 300 | 248.4 | 333.1 | 40.07 |
| 350 | 253.85 | 333.7 | 54.71 |
| 400 | 259.04 | 335 | 73.7 |
| 450 | 263.8 | 337.2 | 98.865 |
| 500 | $268 ; 2$ | 340.4 | 133.4 |


| Table B2 |  | Coefficient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dist | -0.5237 | -0.3385 | -0.3663 | -0.6906 |
| Vs | -0.5179 | -0.3849 | -0.2589 | 0.7187 |
| Is | -0.4043 | 0.8568 | -0.3154 | 0.0539 |
| Vreg | -0.5423 | 0.0557 | 0.8362 | -0.0597 |


| Table B3 |  | Correlation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dist | Vs | Is | Vreg |
| dist | 1 | 0.9992 | 0.5425 | 0.9517 |
| Vs | 0.9992 | 1 | 0.5104 | 0.9396 |
| Is | 0.5425 | 0.5104 | 1 | 0.7725 |
| Vreg | 0.9517 | 0.9396 | 0.7725 | 1 |


| Table B4 | Eigen | latent | Explained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dist | 3.3926 | 84.8146 | 84.8146 |
| Vs | 0.6066 | 15.1646 | 99.9792 |
| Is | 0.0008 | 0.0197 | 99.9989 |
| Vreg | 0 | 0.0011 | 100 |

### 4.0 Conclusion:

Contribution [5] of each component factors in each analysis is discussed. PCA is done using a covariance matrix. Sum of the Eigen values represents the number of variables entered into the PCA. Last component Eigen values are very small in both the case. The analysis of variables is to identify the dimension that are latent.
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